

sentence, which the district court denied. Hunsaker appeals, arguing that the district court erred in denying his Rule 35 motion.¹

A motion for reduction of sentence under Rule 35 is essentially a plea for leniency, addressed to the sound discretion of the court. *State v. Knighton*, 143 Idaho 318, 319, 144 P.3d 23, 24 (2006); *State v. Allbee*, 115 Idaho 845, 846, 771 P.2d 66, 67 (Ct. App. 1989). In presenting a Rule 35 motion, the defendant must show that the sentence is excessive in light of new or additional information subsequently provided to the district court in support of the motion. *State v. Huffman*, 144 Idaho 201, 203, 159 P.3d 838, 840 (2007). Upon review of the record, including any new information submitted with Hunsaker's Rule 35 motion, we conclude no abuse of discretion has been shown. Therefore, the district court's order denying Hunsaker's Rule 35 motion is affirmed.

¹ Hunsaker also pled guilty and was sentenced for two misdemeanor convictions. However, those sentences were not subject to the Rule 35 motion and are not challenged on appeal.