

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket Nos. 47105/47106/47107/47108

STATE OF IDAHO, )  
 )  
 ) **Filed: March 16, 2020**  
 )  
 ) **Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk**  
 )  
 ) **THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED**  
 ) **OPINION AND SHALL NOT**  
 ) **BE CITED AS AUTHORITY**  
 )  
 )  
 )  
 )

---

Appeal from the District Court of the Third Judicial District, State of Idaho, Canyon County. Hon. Davis VanderVelde, District Judge.

Judgments of conviction and concurrent unified sentences of seven years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years, for two counts of burglary, one count of forgery, and one count of grand theft, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Andrea W. Reynolds, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; John C. McKinney, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

---

Before HUSKEY, Chief Judge; GRATTON, Judge;  
and BRAILSFORD, Judge

---

PER CURIAM

In these consolidated cases, Anthony Erasmo Madrid pled guilty to one count of burglary, Idaho Code § 18-1401 (Docket No. 47105); one count of forgery, I.C. § 18-3601 (Docket No. 47106); one count of burglary, I.C. § 18-1401 (Docket No. 47107); and one count of grand theft, I.C. §§ 18-2403(1), 18-2407(1)(b) (Docket No. 47108). The district court sentenced Madrid to concurrent unified sentences of seven years with two years determinate in each case. Madrid appeals that the district court abused its discretion by imposing excessive sentences.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Madrid's judgments of conviction and sentences are affirmed.