

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 47093

STATE OF IDAHO,)	
)	Filed: February 13, 2020
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	
)	Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
v.)	
)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
ZACHARY TYLER ALLEN,)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Defendant-Appellant.)	
)	

Appeal from the District Court of the Seventh Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bonneville County. Hon. Joel E. Tingey, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of one and one-half years, for forgery, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Reed P. Anderson, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kacey L. Jones, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before HUSKEY, Chief Judge; GRATTON, Judge;
and BRAILSFORD, Judge

PER CURIAM

Zachary Tyler Allen pleaded guilty to forgery, Idaho Code § 18-3601. The district court imposed a unified sentence of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of one and one-half years. The district court retained jurisdiction, and Allen was sent to participate in the rider program. After Allen completed his rider, the district court relinquished jurisdiction. Allen appeals, contending that the district court abused its discretion by imposing an excessively harsh sentence.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court’s discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Allen's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.