

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 47042

STATE OF IDAHO,)
) **Filed: April 28, 2020**
 Plaintiff-Respondent,)
) **Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk**
 v.)
) **THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED**
 REGAN REBECCA MULLINS,) **OPINION AND SHALL NOT**
) **BE CITED AS AUTHORITY**
 Defendant-Appellant.)
)
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Deborah A. Bail, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of ten years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years, for grand theft, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Jenny C. Swinford, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before HUSKEY, Chief Judge; LORELLO, Judge;
and BRAILSFORD, Judge

PER CURIAM

Regan Rebecca Mullins was found guilty of grand theft. I.C. §§ 18-2403(1), 18-2407(1)(b), and 18-2409. The district court sentenced Mullins to a unified term of ten years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years, to run concurrently with an unrelated sentence. However, the district court retained jurisdiction and sent Mullins to participate in the rider program. Mullins appeals, arguing that her sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Mullins's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.