

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 46978

STATE OF IDAHO,)
) Filed: December 12, 2019
 Plaintiff-Respondent,)
) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
 v.)
) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
 CHARLES TYRONE McCULLOCH,) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
 Defendant-Appellant.)
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Third Judicial District, State of Idaho, Canyon County. Hon. Gene A. Petty, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of ten years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years, for felony driving under the influence, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Kimberly A. Coster, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; HUSKEY, Judge;
and LORELLO, Judge

PER CURIAM

Charles Tyrone McCulloch pled guilty to felony driving under the influence. I.C. §§ 18-8004 and 18-8005. In exchange for his guilty plea, additional charges were dismissed. The district court sentenced McCulloch to a unified term of ten years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years. McCulloch filed an I.C.R. 35 motion, which the district court denied. McCulloch appeals, arguing that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established.

See State v. Hernandez, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, McCulloch's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.