

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket Nos. 46970/47000

STATE OF IDAHO, )  
 )  
 ) **Filed: November 1, 2019**  
 )  
 ) **Plaintiff-Respondent,** )  
 ) **Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk**  
 )  
 ) **v.** )  
 ) **THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED**  
 ) **OPINION AND SHALL NOT**  
 ) **BE CITED AS AUTHORITY**  
 )  
 ) **LESTER WESLEY KESTER, JR.,** )  
 )  
 ) **Defendant-Appellant.** )  
 )  
 )

---

Appeal from the District Court of the Third Judicial District, State of Idaho, Canyon County. Hon. Bradly S. Ford, District Judge.

Judgments of conviction and concurrent, unified life sentences with thirty-one years determinate for five counts of lewd conduct with a child under sixteen, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Sally J. Cooley, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

---

Before HUSKEY, Judge; LORELLO, Judge;  
and BRAILSFORD, Judge

---

PER CURIAM

In consolidated cases, Lester Wesley Kester, Jr. pled guilty to four counts of lewd conduct with a child under sixteen in Docket No. 46970 and one count of lewd conduct with a child under sixteen in Docket No. 47000. Idaho Code § 18-1508. In exchange for his pleas, the State agreed not to file additional charges. The district court imposed a unified sentence of life with thirty-one years determinate on each count. The sentences were all ordered to be served concurrently. Kester appeals, contending that his sentences are excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007).

Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion. Therefore, Kester's judgments of conviction and sentences are affirmed.