

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 46866

STATE OF IDAHO,)
) Filed: February 5, 2020
 Plaintiff-Respondent,)
) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
 v.)
) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
 GERALD THOMAS WALTON-GRICE,) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
 Defendant-Appellant.)
)
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Deborah A. Bail, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of ten years with three years determinate for one count of sexual exploitation of a child and a consecutive sentence of ten years indeterminate for a second count of sexual exploitation of a child, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Elizabeth Ann Allred, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before HUSKEY, Chief Judge; GRATTON, Judge;
and BRAILSFORD, Judge

PER CURIAM

Gerald Thomas Walton-Grice pled guilty to two counts of sexual exploitation of a child, Idaho Code § 18-1507(2)(a). The district court imposed a unified sentence of ten years with three years determinate for one count of sexual exploitation of a child and a consecutive sentence of ten years indeterminate for a second count of sexual exploitation of a child. Walton-Grice appeals, contending that his sentences are excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. See *State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007).

Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion. Therefore, Walton-Grice's judgment of conviction and sentences are affirmed.