

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 46762

STATE OF IDAHO,)
)
) **Filed: November 6, 2019**
)
) **Plaintiff-Respondent,**)
) **Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk**
)
) **v.**)
) **THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED**
) **TRAVIS DARREL TENNANT,**) **OPINION AND SHALL NOT**
) **BE CITED AS AUTHORITY**
) **Defendant-Appellant.**)
)
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Jason D. Scott, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of one year, for felony eluding a peace officer and concurrent unified sentence of ten years, with a minimum period of confinement of four years, for grand theft, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Kimberly A. Coster, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Jeff Nye, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; HUSKEY, Judge;
and LORELLO, Judge

PER CURIAM

Travis Darrel Tennant pled guilty to felony eluding a peace officer, I.C. § 49-1404(2)(a), and grand theft, I.C. §§ 18-2403(1), 18-2407(1)(b), and 18-2409. In exchange for his guilty pleas, additional charges were dismissed. The district court sentenced Tennant to a unified term of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of one year, for felony eluding a peace officer and a concurrent unified term of ten years, with a minimum period of confinement of four years, for grand theft. Tennant appeals, arguing that his sentences are excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Tennant's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.