

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 46752

STATE OF IDAHO,)
) Filed: October 31, 2019
Plaintiff-Respondent,)
) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
v.)
) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
STEFANEY M. BELLEMORE, aka) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
TUCKER, aka LYSKA, aka HARBERT,) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
aka MARGERITE A. STOKES,)
)
Defendant-Appellant.)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Samuel Hoagland, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of twenty years, with a minimum period of confinement of five years, for possession of a controlled substance, with enhancement, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Jason C. Pintler, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; LORELLO, Judge;
and BRAILSFORD, Judge

PER CURIAM

Stefaney M. Bellemore pled guilty to possession of a controlled substance, Idaho Code § 37-2732(c), as well as being a persistent violator, I.C. § 19-2514. The district court sentenced Bellemore to a unified term of twenty years with five years determinate. Bellemore appeals asserting that the district court abused its discretion by imposing an excessive sentence.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court’s discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Bellemore's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.