

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 46734

STATE OF IDAHO,)
) Filed: February 20, 2020
Plaintiff-Respondent,)
) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
v.)
) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
STEVEN RONALD ENNIS, JR.,) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Defendant-Appellant.)
_____)

Appeal from the District Court of the First Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bonner County. Hon. Barbara A. Buchanan, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of life, with a minimum period of confinement of twenty years, for lewd conduct; and two concurrent unified sentences of twenty-five years with twenty years determinate for two counts of sexual abuse, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Ben P. McGreevy, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Jeff Nye, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before HUSKEY, Chief Judge; GRATTON, Judge;
and LORELLO, Judge

PER CURIAM

Steven Ronald Ennis, Jr. was found guilty of one count of felony lewd conduct, two counts of felony sexual abuse, and a sentencing enhancement. Idaho Code §§ 18-1508, 18-516, 18-1506(1)(d). The district court sentenced Ennis to a unified term of life with twenty years determinate for the lewd conduct count, and two concurrent unified sentences of twenty-five years with twenty years determinate on the sexual abuse counts. Ennis appeals asserting that the district court abused its discretion by imposing excessive sentences.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Ennis's judgment of conviction and sentences are affirmed.