

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 46536

STATE OF IDAHO,)
) **Filed: November 6, 2019**
) **Plaintiff-Respondent,**)
) **Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk**
v.)
) **THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED**
ADAM DEACON FOSTER,) **OPINION AND SHALL NOT**
) **BE CITED AS AUTHORITY**
) **Defendant-Appellant.**)
)
_____)

Appeal from the District Court of the First Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bonner County. Hon. Barbara A. Buchanan, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and consecutive unified sentences of twenty-five years, with minimum periods of confinement of twelve and one-half years, for two counts of attempted murder, enhanced for the infliction of great bodily harm, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Reed P. Anderson, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before HUSKEY, Judge; LORELLO, Judge;
and BRAILSFORD, Judge

PER CURIAM

Adam Deacon Foster pled guilty to two counts of attempted murder, I.C. § 18-4001, enhanced for the infliction of great bodily harm, I.C. § 19-2520B. In exchange for his guilty plea, additional enhancements were dismissed and the State agreed to limit its sentencing recommendation to fifty years. The district court sentenced Foster to consecutive unified terms of twenty-five years, with minimum periods of confinement of twelve and one-half years. Foster appeals, arguing that his sentences are excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Foster's judgment of conviction and sentences are affirmed.