

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 46489

STATE OF IDAHO, )  
 ) **Filed: June 14, 2019**  
 ) **Plaintiff-Respondent,** )  
 ) **Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk**  
v. )  
 ) **THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED**  
TIMOTHY WILLIAM SNOW, ) **OPINION AND SHALL NOT**  
 ) **BE CITED AS AUTHORITY**  
 ) **Defendant-Appellant.** )  
 )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

Appeal from the District Court of the Third Judicial District, State of Idaho, Canyon County. Hon. Bradly S. Ford, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of thirteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of one and one-half years, for sexual abuse of a child under sixteen, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; LORELLO, Judge;  
and BRAILSFORD, Judge  
\_\_\_\_\_

PER CURIAM

Timothy William Snow pled guilty to sexual abuse of a child under sixteen. I.C. § 18-1506. In exchange for his guilty plea, additional charges were dismissed. The district court sentenced Snow to a unified term of thirteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of one and one-half years. Snow appeals, arguing that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court’s discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-

15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Snow's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.