

## SUMMARY STATEMENT

*IDHW v. Jane Doe*, Docket No. 46440

*IDHW v. John Doe*, Docket No. 46441

Jane Doe (“Mother”) and John Doe (“Father”) appealed a magistrate court’s decision to terminate their parental rights over their children (“D.E.” and “T.E.”). The Idaho Supreme Court affirmed the magistrate court’s decision, holding: (1) Mother’s due process rights were not violated when there were issues with the microphones during days three and four of the hearing; (2) the magistrate court did not err in denying Father’s request for a jury trial; (3) the magistrate court’s finding that Father failed to comply with the case plan was supported by substantial and competent evidence; (4) the magistrate court did not abuse its discretion in allowing the admission of a police video over Father’s objection; (5) the magistrate court’s decision to not reinstate reasonable efforts and allow visitation was supported by substantial and competent evidence; and (6) the magistrate court’s finding that termination was in the best interest of D.E. and T.E. was supported by substantial and competent evidence.