

## **SUMMARY STATEMENT**

*Bliss v. Minidoka Irr. Dist.*

Docket No. 46374

In this case, the Idaho Supreme Court affirmed a district court's order awarding summary judgment to the Minidoka Irrigation District. In April 2017, Victor Rodger Bliss filed a complaint against MID stemming from his decades-long relationship with MID. The complaint articulated 5 counts: (1) breach of contract, (2) breach of fiduciary duty; (3) trespass, (4) declaratory relief; and (5) wrongful prosecution/infliction of extreme emotional distress. The Idaho Supreme Court affirmed the district court's grant of summary judgment, determining that Bliss failed to provide proper notice under the Idaho Tort Claim Act for Counts 1, 2, 3, and the IIED claim enumerated in Count 5. The Court also determined that summary judgment was proper on the malicious prosecution claim articulated in Count 5 because Bliss's failed to produce evidence showing malice or criminal intent. Likewise, the Court determined that summary judgment was properly granted on Count 4's claims for declaratory relief because Bliss failed to allege actual injuries and some of the alleged disputes were resolved by Idaho statutory law.