

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 46338

STATE OF IDAHO,)
) **Filed: March 26, 2019**
) **Plaintiff-Respondent,**)
) **Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk**
 v.)
) **THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED**
 SAMUEL BRUCE GROVE,) **OPINION AND SHALL NOT**
) **BE CITED AS AUTHORITY**
) **Defendant-Appellant.**)
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Samuel Hoagland, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of fifteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years, for enticement of a child through use of the internet or other communication device, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Elizabeth A. Allred, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; LORELLO, Judge;
and BRAILSFORD, Judge

PER CURIAM

Samuel Bruce Grove pled guilty to enticement of a child through the internet or other communication device. I.C. § 18-1509A. The district court sentenced Grove to a unified term of fifteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years. Grove appeals, arguing that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Grove's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.