

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 46222

STATE OF IDAHO,	)
	) <b>Filed: August 6, 2019</b>
Plaintiff-Respondent,	)
	) <b>Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk</b>
v.	)
	) <b>THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED</b>
DAVID P. HOCHSTETLER, aka DAVID	) <b>OPINION AND SHALL NOT</b>
PAUL-WHITESTORM	) <b>BE CITED AS AUTHORITY</b>
HOCHSTETLER,	)
	)
Defendant-Appellant.	)

---

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Patrick Miller, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of one year, for felony malicious injury to property, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Brian R. Dickson, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

---

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; HUSKEY, Judge;  
and LORELLO, Judge

---

PER CURIAM

David P. Hochstetler pled guilty to felony malicious injury to property. Idaho Code §§ 18-7001(2), 18-204. The district court sentenced Hochstetler to a unified term of five years with one year determinate to run consecutively to another case. Hochstetler appeals, asserting that the district court abused its discretion by imposing an excessive sentence, particularly in regard to its decision to make this sentence consecutive to another case.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Hochstetler's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.