

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 46166

STATE OF IDAHO,)
) Filed: December 31, 2018
Plaintiff-Respondent,)
) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
v.)
) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
AMBER RAE CALDWELL,) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Defendant-Appellant.)
_____)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Elmore County. Hon. Nancy A. Baskin, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and suspended unified sentence of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years, for possession of a controlled substance, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Kimberly A. Coster, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; HUSKEY, Judge;
and LORELLO, Judge

PER CURIAM

Amber Rae Caldwell pled guilty to possession of a controlled substance. I.C. § 37-2732(c)(1). In exchange for her guilty plea, additional charges were dismissed. The district court sentenced Caldwell to a unified term of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years. However, the district court retained jurisdiction and sent Caldwell to participate in the rider program. Following successful completion of her rider, the district court suspended

Caldwell's sentence and placed her on probation. Caldwell appeals, arguing that her underlying sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Caldwell's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.