

## SUMMARY STATEMENT

*Trumble v. Farm Bureau Mutual Insurance Company of Idaho*, Docket No. 46133

Trumble, an agent who was terminated from Farm Bureau, sought declaratory relief requesting Farm Bureau be ordered to pay Trumble \$251,431.96 in service bonus commissions. Farm Bureau moved for summary judgment arguing Trumble was ineligible to receive the service bonus commissions because Trumble violated a one-year non-competition requirement. The district court granted summary judgment for Farm Bureau. The Idaho Supreme Court affirmed the district court's grant of summary judgment for Farm Bureau.

After being notified that Trumble was working for another insurance company and soliciting new customers, some of which were Farm Bureau's customers, Farm Bureau filed two counterclaims against Trumble alleging misappropriation of trade secrets and intentional interference with a potential economic advantage. The district court granted summary judgment for Trumble on both of Farm Bureau's counterclaims. The Idaho Supreme Court affirmed the district court's grant of summary judgment for Trumble on Farm Bureau's counterclaims. The Idaho Supreme Court did not award either party attorney fees or costs.