

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 45831

STATE OF IDAHO,)
)
) **Filed: November 14, 2018**
)
) **Plaintiff-Respondent,**)
) **Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk**
)
) **v.**)
) **THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED**
) **ALEXANDRA HOPE LEWIS,**) **OPINION AND SHALL NOT**
) **BE CITED AS AUTHORITY**
) **Defendant-Appellant.**)
)
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Samuel Hoagland, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of seven years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years, for possession of a controlled substance, methamphetamine, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Kim A. Coster, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GUTIERREZ, Judge; HUSKEY, Judge;
and LORELLO, Judge

PER CURIAM

Alexandra Hope Lewis pleaded guilty to possession of a controlled substance, methamphetamine, Idaho Code § 37-2732(1)(F). The district court imposed a unified seven-year sentence, with two years determinate. Lewis appeals, contending that the district court abused its discretion by imposing an excessive sentence and by ordering execution of her sentence rather than retaining jurisdiction.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). Whether to retain jurisdiction is a matter within the sound discretion of the district court. *State v. Lee*, 117 Idaho 203, 205-06, 786 P.2d 596-97 (Ct. App.1990). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion by imposing an excessive sentence or declining to retain jurisdiction.

Therefore, Lewis's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.