

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 45668

STATE OF IDAHO, )  
 ) **Filed: August 20, 2018**  
 ) **Plaintiff-Respondent,** )  
 ) **Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk**  
v. )  
 ) **THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED**  
 ) **CURTIS EUGENE LEE,** ) **OPINION AND SHALL NOT**  
 ) **BE CITED AS AUTHORITY**  
 ) **Defendant-Appellant.** )  
 )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Nancy Baskin, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and suspended, unified sentence of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of one year, for possession of methamphetamine, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Elizabeth A. Allred, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge;  
and LORELLO, Judge  
\_\_\_\_\_

PER CURIAM

Curtis Eugene Lee pled guilty to possession of methamphetamine, Idaho Code § 37-2732(c). The district court imposed a unified sentence of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of one year, suspended the sentence and placed Lee on probation for four years. Lee appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive and that the district court erred in declining to withhold judgment.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Lee's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.