

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 45234

STATE OF IDAHO,)	2018 Unpublished Opinion No. 427
)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	Filed: April 19, 2018
)	
v.)	Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
)	
MARIAH LYNN BUCHANAN,)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)	

Appeal from the District Court of the First Judicial District, State of Idaho, Kootenai County. Hon. Cynthia K.C. Meyer, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of four years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years, for possession of methamphetamine, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Jason C. Pintler, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge;
and HUSKEY, Judge

PER CURIAM

Mariah Lynn Buchanan was found guilty of possession of methamphetamine. Idaho Code § 37-2732(c)(1). The district court sentenced Buchanan to a unified term of four years with two years determinate, suspended the sentence and placed Buchanan on supervised probation for two years. Buchanan appeals asserting that the district court abused its discretion by imposing an excessive sentence.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court’s discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Buchanan's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.