

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 45055

STATE OF IDAHO, ) 2017 Unpublished Opinion No. 596  
 )  
Plaintiff-Respondent, ) Filed: September 26, 2017  
 )  
v. ) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk  
 )  
KATRINA ROBIN BINGAMAN, ) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED  
 ) OPINION AND SHALL NOT  
Defendant-Appellant. ) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY  
 )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Melissa Moody, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of fifteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years, for attempted robbery, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Jenny C. Swinford, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge;  
and HUSKEY, Judge  
\_\_\_\_\_

PER CURIAM

Katrina Robin Bingaman pled guilty to attempted robbery, Idaho Code §§ 18-6501, 18-306. The district court imposed a unified sentence of fifteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years, and retained jurisdiction. Upon completion of the retained jurisdiction program, Bingaman was placed on probation. Bingaman appeals, contending that her sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-

15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Bingaman's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.