

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 44907

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2018 Unpublished Opinion No. 307
)
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: January 5, 2018
)
v.) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
)
JORDAN WAYNE PICKETT,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)
_____)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Jason D. Scott, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of fourteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of ten years, for trafficking in heroin, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Justin M. Curtis, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge;
and HUSKEY, Judge

PER CURIAM

Jordan Wayne Pickett pled guilty to trafficking in heroin, Idaho Code § 37-2732B(a)(6). The district court imposed a unified sentence of fourteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of ten years. Pickett appeals, contending that the indeterminate portion of his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Pickett's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.