

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 44661

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2017 Unpublished Opinion No. 572
)
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: September 5, 2017
)
v.) Karel A. Lehrman, Clerk
)
JERIME AUSTIN BALDWIN,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)
_____)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fifth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Minidoka County. Hon. Jonathan P. Brody, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of fifteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years, for sexual abuse of a child under the age of sixteen years, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Reed P. Anderson, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge;
and HUSKEY, Judge

PER CURIAM

Jerime Austin Baldwin pled guilty to one count of sexual abuse of a child under the age of sixteen years, Idaho Code § 18-1506(1)(b). The district court imposed a unified sentence of fifteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years. The district court retained jurisdiction, and Baldwin was sent to participate in the rider program.

After Baldwin completed his rider, the district court relinquished jurisdiction. Baldwin appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Baldwin's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.