IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 44384

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2017 Unpublished Opinion No. 435
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: April 18, 2017
v.) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
TIMOTHY STEPHENS ZOLBER,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
Defendant-Appellant.) OPINION AND SHALL NOT) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY)
Appeal from the District Court of th Latah County. Hon. John R. Stegner,	e Second Judicial District, State of Idaho District Judge.
Order revoking probation and executing	ng previously suspended sentence, affirmed.
Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate I Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for	Public Defender; Reed P. Anderson, Deputy appellant.
Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.	General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney
	Judge; MELANSON, Judge; SKEY, Judge

PER CURIAM

Timothy Stephens Zolber pled guilty to criminal possession of a financial transaction card. I.C. §§ 18-3125(1) and 18-3128. The district court sentenced Zolber to a unified term of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years, but after a period of retained jurisdiction, suspended the sentence and placed Zolber on probation. Subsequently, Zolber admitted to violating the terms of the probation, and the district court consequently revoked probation and ordered execution of the original sentence. Zolber appeals, contending that the district court abused its discretion in revoking probation and that the sentence is excessive.

It is within the trial court's discretion to revoke probation if any of the terms and conditions of the probation have been violated. I.C. §§ 19-2603, 20-222; State v. Beckett, 122 Idaho 324, 325, 834 P.2d 326, 327 (Ct. App. 1992); State v. Adams, 115 Idaho 1053, 1054, 772 P.2d 260, 261 (Ct. App. 1989); State v. Hass, 114 Idaho 554, 558, 758 P.2d 713, 717 (Ct. App. 1988). In determining whether to revoke probation a court must examine whether the probation is achieving the goal of rehabilitation and consistent with the protection of society. State v. Upton, 127 Idaho 274, 275, 899 P.2d 984, 985 (Ct. App. 1995); Beckett, 122 Idaho at 325, 834 P.2d at 327; Hass, 114 Idaho at 558, 758 P.2d at 717. The court may, after a probation violation has been established, order that the suspended sentence be executed or, in the alternative, the court is authorized under I.C.R. 35 to reduce the sentence. Beckett, 122 Idaho at 325, 834 P.2d at 327; State v. Marks, 116 Idaho 976, 977, 783 P.2d 315, 316 (Ct. App. 1989). The court may also order a period of retained jurisdiction. I.C. § 19-2601. A decision to revoke probation will be disturbed on appeal only upon a showing that the trial court abused its discretion. Beckett, 122 Idaho at 325, 834 P.2d at 327. In reviewing the propriety of a probation revocation, the focus of the inquiry is the conduct underlying the trial court's decision to revoke probation. State v. Morgan, 153 Idaho 618, 621, 288 P.3d 835, 838 (Ct. App. 2012). Thus, this Court will consider the elements of the record before the trial court relevant to the revocation of probation issues which are properly made part of the record on appeal. *Id*.

Sentencing is also a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of a sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007).

When we review a sentence that is ordered into execution following a period of probation, we will examine the entire record encompassing events before and after the original judgment. *State v. Hanington*, 148 Idaho 26, 29, 218 P.3d 5, 8 (Ct. App. 2009). We base our review upon the facts existing when the sentence was imposed as well as events occurring between the original sentencing and the revocation of probation. *Id.* Thus, this Court will

consider the elements of the record before the trial court that are properly made part of the record on appeal and are relevant to the defendant's contention that the trial court should have reduced the sentence sua sponte upon revocation of probation. *Morgan*, 153 Idaho at 621, 288 P.3d at 838.

Applying the foregoing standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion either in revoking probation or in ordering execution of Zolber's sentence without modification. Therefore, the order revoking probation and directing execution of Zolber's previously suspended sentence is affirmed.