

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket Nos. 44332 & 44333

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2017 Unpublished Opinion No. 389
)
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: March 3, 2017
)
v.) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
)
RAMON URIEL CHINEA-MULLER,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fifth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Twin Falls County. Hon. G. Richard Bevan, District Judge.

Judgments of conviction and consecutive unified sentences of five years, with minimum periods of confinement of one year, for two counts of felony eluding a peace officer, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, State Appellate Public Defender; Reed P. Anderson, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GRATTON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge;
and MELANSON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Ramon Uriel Chinae-Muller pled guilty to two counts of felony eluding a peace officer.¹ I.C. § 49-1404(2)(c). In exchange for his guilty pleas, an additional charge was dismissed. The district court sentenced Chinae-Muller to consecutive unified terms of five years, with minimum periods of confinement of one year. Chinae-Muller appeals.

¹ One of Chinae-Muller's pleas was entered as an *Alford* plea. See *North Carolina v. Alford*, 400 U.S. 25 (1970).

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, China-Muller's judgments of conviction and sentences are affirmed.