

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 43804

STATE OF IDAHO,)	2016 Unpublished Opinion No. 566
)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	Filed: June 15, 2016
)	
v.)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
)	
KENNETH RICHARD PAGE,)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)	

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Deborah A. Bail, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and sentences, affirmed.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Elizabeth A. Allred, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before MELANSON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge;
and HUSKEY, Judge

PER CURIAM

Kenneth Richard Page pleaded guilty to one count of sexual exploitation of a child, felony, Idaho Code § 18-1507, and one count of lewd conduct with a minor under sixteen, felony, I.C. § 18-1508. The district court imposed a unified thirty-year sentence, with ten years determinate, for each count to run concurrently. Page appeals, contending that his sentences are excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court’s discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. See *State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Page's judgment of conviction and sentences are affirmed.