

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 43716

| | | |
|-----------------------|---|----------------------------------|
| STATE OF IDAHO, |) | 2016 Unpublished Opinion No. 596 |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff-Respondent, |) | Filed: July 15, 2016 |
| |) | |
| v. |) | Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk |
| |) | |
| ERIC EUGENE HEISLEY, |) | THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED |
| |) | OPINION AND SHALL NOT |
| Defendant-Appellant. |) | BE CITED AS AUTHORITY |
| |) | |

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Patrick H. Owen, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of three and one-half years, for aggravated assault, affirmed.

Eric D. Fredericksen, Interim State Appellate Public Defender; Jason C. Pintler, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before MELANSON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge;
and HUSKEY, Judge

PER CURIAM

Eric Eugene Heisley pled guilty to aggravated assault, Idaho Code §§ 18-901(a), 18-905(b), 19-2520F. The district court imposed a unified sentence of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of three and one-half years. Heisley appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court’s discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. See *State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-

15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Heisley's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.