

SUMMARY STATEMENT

Rish v. Home Depot, Inc. et al.

No. 43677

The Idaho Supreme Court vacated the Industrial Commission's order denying medical care benefits to Channel Rish. The Court reasoned that the Commission erred on two grounds. First, the Commission improperly based its decision on the date Rish achieved maximum medical improvement. The Court emphasized that neither statute nor precedent made maximum medical improvement a relevant consideration when determining whether medical care is reasonable. Second, the Commission erred by retrospectively analyzing the efficacy of Rish's medical care in contravention of *Chavez v. Stokes*, 158 Idaho 793, 353 P.3d 414 (2015). Because the Commission misapplied the governing law, the Court vacated the Commission's order and remanded for proceedings consistent with its opinion.