## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 43580**

STATE OF IDAHO,	) 2016 Unpublished Opinion No. 468
Plaintiff-Respondent,	) Filed: April 5, 2016
<b>v.</b>	) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
ROBERT C. VANDECOEVERING,	) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED ) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.	) <b>BE CITED AS AUTHORITY</b>

Appeal from the District Court of the Third Judicial District, State of Idaho, Canyon County. Hon. Christopher S. Nye, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of fifteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of seven years, for sexual abuse of a child under sixteen years of age, <u>affirmed</u>.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Andrea W. Reynolds, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GUTIERREZ, Judge; GRATTON, Judge; and HUSKEY, Judge

## PER CURIAM

Robert C. Vandecoevering pled guilty to sexual abuse of child under the age of sixteen years. Idaho Code § 18-1506(1). The district court sentenced Vandecoevering to a unified term of fifteen years with seven years determinate. Vandecoevering appeals asserting that the district court abused its discretion by imposing an excessive sentence.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-

15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Vandecoevering's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.