

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 43497

STATE OF IDAHO, ) 2016 Unpublished Opinion No. 419  
 )  
Plaintiff-Respondent, ) Filed: March 7, 2016  
 )  
v. ) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk  
 )  
PETER J. LAURENZANO, ) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED  
 ) OPINION AND SHALL NOT  
Defendant-Appellant. ) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY  
 )

---

Appeal from the District Court of the Sixth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bannock County. Hon. Stephen S. Dunn, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of one year, for trafficking in marijuana with a second or subsequent offense enhancement, affirmed.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Aaron J. Currin, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

---

Before MELANSON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge;  
and HUSKEY, Judge

---

PER CURIAM

Peter J. Laurenzano pled guilty to trafficking in marijuana with a second or subsequent offense enhancement. I.C. §§ 37-2732B(a)(1)(A) and 37-2739. The district court sentenced Laurenzano to a unified term of five years, with a mandatory minimum period of confinement of one year. Laurenzano appeals.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Laurenzano's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.