IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 43050

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2016 Unpublished Opinion No. 311
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: January 7, 2016
v.) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
TWAIN N. THOMAS,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY

Appeal from the District Court of the Sixth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bannock County. Hon. Robert C. Naftz, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and aggregate unified sentence of fifteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of five years, for attempted murder in the second degree and aggravated assault, <u>affirmed</u>.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Maya P. Waldron, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before MELANSON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge; and GRATTON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Twain N. Thomas pled guilty to attempted murder in the second degree, Idaho Code §§ 18-4001, 18-4002, 18-4003, 18-306; and aggravated assault, I.C. §§ 18-901(a) and/or (b), 18-905(a) and/or (b). The district court imposed an aggregate unified sentence of fifteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of five years. Thomas appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Thomas's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.