

## **SUMMARY STATEMENT**

*Gearhart v. Mutual of Enumclaw Insurance*, Docket No. 42859

In this Canyon County case, the Idaho Supreme Court affirmed a decision of the district court requiring the appellant, Mutual of Enumclaw Insurance Company, to pay the respondent, Trent Gearhart, the maximum benefit on two separate underinsured motorist policies purchased by Gearhart's divorced parents. The Court held that because of inartful language in the policies, the anti-stacking provisions were unenforceable.