IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 42667

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2015 Unpublished Opinion No. 588
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: August 11, 2015
v.) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
CLAYTON ADAMS,)) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY

Appeal from the District Court of the Third Judicial District, State of Idaho, Canyon County. Hon. Renae J. Hoff, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified life sentence, with a minimum term of confinement of twenty-five years, <u>affirmed</u>.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Eric D. Fredericksen, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before MELANSON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge; and GRATTON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Clayton Adams was found guilty of second degree murder, Idaho Code §§ 18-4001, 18-4003(g); and aggravated battery, I.C. § 18-907. The district court imposed a unified life sentence, with twenty-five years determinate, for second degree murder, and a consecutive unified sentence of ten years, with three years determinate, for aggravated battery. Adams filed an Idaho Criminal Rule 35 motion for reduction of his sentences, which the district court denied. In 2009, this Court affirmed Adams' conviction and sentences. Adams subsequently filed a petition for post-conviction relief and the district court granted him a new sentencing hearing on the second degree murder charge. At resentencing, the district court again imposed a unified life

sentence with a twenty-five-year determinate term. Adams appeals, contending that his sentence for second degree murder is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Adams' judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.