

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 42564

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2015 Unpublished Opinion No. 416
)
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: March 17, 2015
)
v.) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
)
SCOTT ALEXANDER LYNEIS,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)

Appeal from the District Court of the Third Judicial District, State of Idaho, Canyon County. Hon. Thomas J. Ryan, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and concurrent unified sentences of ten years, with minimum periods of confinement of two years, for two counts of possession of sexually exploitative material, affirmed.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Ben P. McGreevy, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before MELANSON, Chief Judge; LANSING, Judge;
and GUTIERREZ, Judge

PER CURIAM

Scott Alexander Lyneis pled guilty to two counts of possession of sexually exploitative material. I.C. § 18-1507(2). In exchange for his guilty pleas, the state agreed not to pursue additional charges or send any information to federal authorities. The district court sentenced Lyneis to concurrent unified terms of ten years, with minimum periods of confinement of two years. Lyneis appeals.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Lyneis's judgment of conviction and sentences are affirmed.