IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 42512

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2015 Unpublished Opinion No. 411
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: March 13, 2015
v.) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
JOSEPH LAWRENCE GUILLORY,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
Defendant-Appellant.	OPINION AND SHALL NOTBE CITED AS AUTHORITY
County. Hon. Cheri C. Copsey, District Judgment of conviction and unified ser confinement of one and one-half years	ntence of six years with a minimum term of for grand theft by deception, affirmed. lic Defender; Elizabeth A. Allred, Deputy
	General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney
	nief Judge; LANSING, Judge; TTON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Joseph Lawrence Guillory was convicted of grand theft by deception, Idaho Code §§ 18-2403(2)(a), 18-2407(1)(b), 18-2409. The district court imposed a unified six-year sentence with one and one-half years determinate. Guillory appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Guillory's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.