

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 42487

STATE OF IDAHO,)	2015 Unpublished Opinion No. 567
)	
Plaintiff-Respondent,)	Filed: July 27, 2015
)	
v.)	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
)	
CHRISTINA LOUISE CREESE,)	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
)	OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.)	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)	

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Michael E. Wetherell, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and consecutive unified sentences of ten years with two years determinate and ten years with three years determinate for two counts of forgery, affirmed.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Maya P. Waldron, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before MELANSON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge;
and GRATTON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Christine Louise Creese pled guilty to two counts of forgery. Idaho Code § 18-3601. The district court sentenced Creese to consecutive unified sentences of ten years with two years determinate and ten years with three years determinate. Creese appeals asserting that the district court abused its discretion by imposing excessive sentences.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court’s discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. See *State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Creese's judgment of conviction and sentences are affirmed.