

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 42282

STATE OF IDAHO, ) 2014 Unpublished Opinion No. 866  
 )  
Plaintiff-Respondent, ) Filed: December 16, 2014  
 )  
v. ) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk  
 )  
PETER CHARLES SEE, ) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED  
 ) OPINION AND SHALL NOT  
Defendant-Appellant. ) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY  
 )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Lynn G. Norton, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of twenty-five years, with a minimum period of confinement of eight years, for aggravated assault upon law enforcement personnel and use of a deadly weapon in the commission of a crime, affirmed.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Maya P. Waldron, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Before GUTIERREZ, Chief Judge; LANSING, Judge;  
and MELANSON, Judge  
\_\_\_\_\_

PER CURIAM

Peter Charles See pled guilty to aggravated assault upon law enforcement personnel, I.C. §§ 18-915(1), 18-901(b) and 18-905(a), and use of a deadly weapon in the commission of a crime, I.C. § 19-2520. In exchange for his guilty plea, additional charges were dismissed. The state also agreed not to pursue an allegation that See was a persistent violator. The district court sentenced See to a unified term of twenty-five years, with a minimum period of confinement of eight years. See filed an I.C.R 35 motion, which the district court denied. See appeals.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, See's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.