IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 42157

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2015 Unpublished Opinion No. 379
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: March 2, 2015
v.) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
TOMMY ALEXANDER GRENINGER,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
County. Hon. Cheri C. Copsey, District Judgment of conviction and unified five determinate term for possession of methods. Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellate Public Def	-year sentence with one and one-half-year amphetamine, affirmed. Defender; Eric D. Fredericksen, Deputy

PER CURIAM

Tommy Alexander Greninger was convicted of possession of methamphetamine, Idaho Code § 37-2732(c). The district court imposed a unified five-year sentence with one and one-half years determinate. Greninger appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Before MELANSON, Chief Judge; LANSING, Judge; and GUTIERREZ, Judge

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Greninger's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.