

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 42104

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2015 Unpublished Opinion No. 307
)
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: January 13, 2015
)
v.) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
)
RAUL URANGA,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
)
_____)

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Richard D. Greenwood, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years, for possession of a controlled substance, affirmed.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Elizabeth A. Allred, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Jessica M. Lorello, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GUTIERREZ, Judge; LANSING, Judge;
and GRATTON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Raul Uranga was found guilty of possession of a controlled substance. I.C. § 37-2732(a). The district court sentenced Uranga to a unified term of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years. The district court, however, retained jurisdiction and sent Uranga to participate in the rider program. Uranga appeals, arguing that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-

15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Uranga's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.