IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 42071

STATE OF IDAHO,	2015 Unpublished Opinion No. 330
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: January 29, 2015
v.) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
DAVID ANTHONY JARAMILLO, JR.,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
Defendant-Appellant.	OPINION AND SHALL NOT BE CITED AS AUTHORITY)
Appeal from the District Court of the Sixth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Bannock County. Hon. David C. Nye, District Judge.	
Judgment of conviction and unified eight-year sentence with five-year determinate term for robbery, <u>affirmed</u> .	
Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Pub Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for ap	olic Defender; Jason C. Pintler, Deputy ppellant.
Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney G. General, Boise, for respondent.	eneral; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney
•	ge; GUTIERREZ, Judge; TON, Judge

PER CURIAM

David Anthony Jaramillo, Jr. pled guilty to robbery, Idaho Code § 18-6501. The district court imposed a unified eight-year sentence with a five-year determinate term. Jaramillo appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Jaramillo's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.