## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

**Docket No. 42055** 

STATE OF IDAHO,	2014 Unpublished Opinion No. 879
Plaintiff-Respondent,	Filed: December 30, 2014
<b>v.</b> ))	Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
ED SMITH, JR.,	THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.	BE CITED AS AUTHORITY

Appeal from the District Court of the Third Judicial District, State of Idaho, Canyon County. Hon. Christopher S. Nye, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified ten-year sentence with three-year determinate term for felony domestic battery with traumatic injury, <u>affirmed</u>.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Reed P. Anderson, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Jessica M. Lorello, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GUTIERREZ, Chief Judge; LANSING, Judge; and MELANSON, Judge

## PER CURIAM

Ed Smith, Jr. was convicted of felony domestic battery with traumatic injury, Idaho Code §§ 18-903(a)(b), 18-918(2). The district court imposed a unified ten-year sentence with a threeyear determinate term. Smith appeals, contending that his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App.

1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Smith's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.