## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## Docket Nos. 41953 & 41954

STATE OF IDAHO,	) 2015 Unpublished Opinion No. 364
Plaintiff-Respondent,	) Filed: February 23, 2015
<b>v.</b>	) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
JEREMY MICHAEL MEYER,	) ) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED ) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.	) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Deborah A. Bail, District Judge.

Judgments of conviction and aggregate unified sentences of fifteen years, with minimum periods of confinement of three years, for possession of a controlled substance with the intent to deliver, two counts of unlawful possession of a firearm, and being a persistent violator, <u>affirmed</u>.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Eric D. Fredericksen, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before MELANSON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge; and GRATTON, Judge

## PER CURIAM

In Docket No. 41953, Jeremy Michael Meyer pled guilty to possession of a controlled substance with intent to deliver, I.C. § 37-2732(a), and unlawful possession of a firearm, I.C. § 18-3316. In exchange for his guilty plea, additional charges were dismissed. The district court sentenced Meyer to a unified term of ten years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years, for possession of a controlled substance with the intent to deliver, and to a concurrent determinate term of three years for unlawful possession of a firearm.

In Docket No. 41954, Meyer was found guilty by a jury of unlawful possession of a firearm and admitted to being a persistent violator, I.C. § 19-2514. The district court sentenced Meyer to a unified term of fifteen years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years, to run concurrent with his sentences in Docket No. 41953. Meyer appeals.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Meyer's judgments of conviction and sentences are affirmed.