## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 41949**

STATE OF IDAHO,	) 2014 Unpublished Opinion No. 837
Plaintiff-Respondent,	) Filed: December 2, 2014
<b>v.</b>	) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
SHANE STEVEN HUFFMAN,	) ) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED ) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.	) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY

Appeal from the District Court of the First Judicial District, State of Idaho, Kootenai County. Hon. Fred M. Gibler, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and concurrent unified sentences of seven years, with minimum periods of confinement of two years, for two counts of delivery of a controlled substance, <u>affirmed</u>.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Reed P. Anderson, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

\_\_\_\_

Before LANSING, Judge; GRATTON, Judge; and MELANSON, Judge

\_\_\_\_\_

## PER CURIAM

Shane Steven Huffman pled guilty to two counts of delivery of a controlled substance. I.C. § 37-2732(a)(1)(A). In exchange for his guilty plea, additional charges were dismissed including an allegation that he was a persistent violator. The district court sentenced Huffman to concurrent unified terms of seven years, with minimum periods of confinement of two years. Huffman appeals.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and

need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Huffman's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.