IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 41918

| STATE OF IDAHO, |) 2014 Unpublished Opinion No. 771 |
|---|--|
| Plaintiff-Respondent, |) Filed: October 17, 2014 |
| v. |) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk |
| NICHOLAS ALAN POWELL, |)) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED) OPINION AND SHALL NOT |
| Defendant-Appellant. |) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY |
| Appeal from the District Court of Kootenai County. Hon. Fred M. Gib | f the First Judicial District, State of Idaho, oler, District Judge. |

Order withholding judgment and imposing probationary term of two years for burglary, <u>affirmed</u>.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Elizabeth A. Allred, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Kenneth K. Jorgensen, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GUTIERREZ, Chief Judge; LANSING, Judge; and GRATTON, Judge

and OKATTON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Nicholas Alan Powell was charged with felony burglary, Idaho Code § 18-1401; misdemeanor possession of a marijuana, I.C. § 37-2732(C)(3); misdemeanor possession of drug paraphernalia, I.C. 37-2734A(1); and misdemeanor possession of an open container of alcohol in a motor vehicle, I.C. § 23-505. A jury convicted Powell of the three misdemeanor charges but was deadlocked on the burglary charge. Powell subsequently entered an *Alford*¹ plea of guilty to the burglary charge. The district court withheld judgment and placed Powell on probation for

1

¹ See North Carolina v. Alford, 400 U.S. 25 (1970).

two years for burglary, and Powell received credit for time served on the three misdemeanor convictions. Powell appeals, contending that his probationary term is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, the order withholding judgment and imposing a probationary term of two years is affirmed.