IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 41816

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2015 Unpublished Opinion No. 427
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: March 24, 2015
v.) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
PETER JEFFREY OLSON,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Timothy L. Hansen, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and suspended unified sentence of ten years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years, <u>affirmed</u>.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Eric D. Fredericksen, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before MELANSON, Chief Judge; GUTIERREZ, Judge; and GRATTON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Peter Jeffrey Olson was found guilty of aggravated assault on a law enforcement officer, I.C. §§ 18-915(1) and 18-905(a), and use of a firearm during the commission of a felony, I.C. § 19-2520. The district court sentenced Olson to a unified term of ten years, with a minimum period of confinement of two years. The district court retained jurisdiction and sent Olson to participate in the rider program. Following successful completion of his rider, the district court suspended the sentence and placed Olson on probation. Olson appeals, asserting the underlying sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho 722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Olson's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.