IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 41717

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2014 Unpublished Opinion No. 860
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: December 12, 2014
v.) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
LEVI DYLON BREWER,) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
Defendant-Appellant.	OPINION AND SHALL NOTBE CITED AS AUTHORITY
Jerome County. Hon. John K. Butler, I Order revoking probation, <u>affirmed</u> .	ublic Defender; Sally J. Cooley, Deputy
	ey General; John C. McKinney, Deputy
	udge; GRATTON, Judge; ANSON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Levi Dylon Brewer was convicted of possession of methamphetamine, Idaho Code § 37-2732(c)(1). The district court imposed a seven-year determinate term, but after a period of retained jurisdiction, suspended the sentence and placed Brewer on probation. Subsequently, Brewer admitted to violating the terms of the probation, and the district court consequently revoked probation and ordered execution of a reduced sentence of seven years with two years determinate. Brewer appeals, contending that the district court abused its discretion in revoking probation.

It is within the trial court's discretion to revoke probation if any of the terms and conditions of the probation have been violated. I.C. §§ 19-2603, 20-222; State v. Beckett, 122 Idaho 324, 325, 834 P.2d 326, 327 (Ct. App. 1992); State v. Adams, 115 Idaho 1053, 1054, 772 P.2d 260, 261 (Ct. App. 1989); State v. Hass, 114 Idaho 554, 558, 758 P.2d 713, 717 (Ct. App. 1988). In determining whether to revoke probation a court must examine whether the probation is achieving the goal of rehabilitation and consistent with the protection of society. State v. Upton, 127 Idaho 274, 275, 899 P.2d 984, 985 (Ct. App. 1995); Beckett, 122 Idaho at 325, 834 P.2d at 327; Hass, 114 Idaho at 558, 758 P.2d at 717. The court may, after a probation violation has been established, order that the suspended sentence be executed or, in the alternative, the court is authorized under Idaho Criminal Rule 35 to reduce the sentence. Beckett, 122 Idaho at 325, 834 P.2d at 327; State v. Marks, 116 Idaho 976, 977, 783 P.2d 315, 316 (Ct. App. 1989). The court may also order a period of retained jurisdiction. State v. Urrabazo, 150 Idaho 158, 162, 244 P.3d 1244, 1248 (2010). A decision to revoke probation will be disturbed on appeal only upon a showing that the trial court abused its discretion. Beckett, 122 Idaho at 325, 834 P.2d at 327. In reviewing the propriety of a probation revocation, the focus of the inquiry is the conduct underlying the trial court's decision to revoke probation. State v. Morgan, 153 Idaho 618, 621, 288 P.3d 835, 838 (Ct. App. 2012). Thus, this Court will consider the elements of the record before the trial court relevant to the revocation of probation issues which are properly made part of the record on appeal. *Id*.

Applying the foregoing standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion in revoking probation. Therefore, the order revoking probation and directing execution of Brewer's modified sentence is affirmed.