

SUMMARY STATEMENT

Arnold v. City of Stanley, Docket No. 41600

The Supreme Court affirmed the judgment of the Custer County district court, holding that in order for a person to have standing in a private action under Idaho Code section 67-2347(6) to challenge an action taken in violation of the Idaho Open Meeting Law, the challenger must have been adversely affected by the alleged violation. It is not sufficient for standing that the substantive action taken at the meeting have an effect on the challenger, if that action was not a result of the alleged violation of the law.