## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

## **Docket No. 41276**

STATE OF IDAHO,	) 2014 Unpublished Opinion No. 492
Plaintiff-Respondent,	) Filed: May 6, 2014
<b>v.</b>	) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
CURTIS BRIAN ENGMAN,	) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED ) OPINION AND SHALL NOT
Defendant-Appellant.	) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY

Appeal from the District Court of the Third Judicial District, State of Idaho, Canyon County. Hon. Thomas J. Ryan, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years, for escape, <u>affirmed</u>.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Sally J. Cooley, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GUTIERREZ, Chief Judge; LANSING, Judge; and MELANSON, Judge

## PER CURIAM

Curtis Brian Engman pled guilty to escape. I.C. § 18-2505. The district court sentenced Engman to a unified term of five years, with a minimum period of confinement of three years, to run consecutive to an unrelated sentence. Engman appeals.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho

722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Engman's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.