IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

Docket No. 41191

STATE OF IDAHO,) 2014 Unpublished Opinion No. 394
Plaintiff-Respondent,) Filed: February 25, 2014
v.) Stephen W. Kenyon, Clerk
HAROLD JOSEPH HOOPER, Defendant-Appellant.) THIS IS AN UNPUBLISHED
) OPINION AND SHALL NOT) BE CITED AS AUTHORITY
	DE CITED AS AUTHORITI

Appeal from the District Court of the Fourth Judicial District, State of Idaho, Ada County. Hon. Deborah A. Bail, District Judge.

Judgment of conviction and unified sentence of fifteen years, with five years determinate, for trafficking in marijuana, <u>affirmed</u>.

Sara B. Thomas, State Appellate Public Defender; Reed P. Anderson, Deputy Appellate Public Defender, Boise, for appellant.

Hon. Lawrence G. Wasden, Attorney General; Lori A. Fleming, Deputy Attorney General, Boise, for respondent.

Before GUTIERREZ, Chief Judge; LANSING, Judge; and GRATTON, Judge

PER CURIAM

Harold Joseph Hooper pled guilty to trafficking in marijuana. Idaho Code § 37-2732B(a)(1). The district court sentenced Hooper to a unified term of fifteen years, with five years determinate. Hooper appeals, contending his sentence is excessive.

Sentencing is a matter for the trial court's discretion. Both our standard of review and the factors to be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the sentence are well established and need not be repeated here. *See State v. Hernandez*, 121 Idaho 114, 117-18, 822 P.2d 1011, 1014-15 (Ct. App. 1991); *State v. Lopez*, 106 Idaho 447, 449-51, 680 P.2d 869, 871-73 (Ct. App. 1984); *State v. Toohill*, 103 Idaho 565, 568, 650 P.2d 707, 710 (Ct. App. 1982). When reviewing the length of a sentence, we consider the defendant's entire sentence. *State v. Oliver*, 144 Idaho

722, 726, 170 P.3d 387, 391 (2007). Applying these standards, and having reviewed the record in this case, we cannot say that the district court abused its discretion.

Therefore, Hooper's judgment of conviction and sentence are affirmed.